

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/991,180	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Necholus Ogden	<b>Art Unit</b> 1751	

**All Participants:**

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(2) James Murtha.

**Status of Application:** after final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2004

**Time:** 10:45 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*none*


Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant stated that application 09/991,180 was abandoned in favor of continuing application 10/766,562.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)